

AFRICAN JOURNAL OF MEDICINE and medical sciences

VOLUME 33 NUMBER 1

MARCH 2004



Editor-in-Chief
YETUNDE A. AKEN'OYA

Assistants Editor-in-Chief
A. O. OGUNNIYI
O. D. OLALEYE

ISSN 1116-4077

Endemicity of onchocerciasis in some Local Government Areas of Niger State

ET Idowu¹, B Adewale¹, MA Mafe¹, B Appelt² and A Bamgbose³

¹Public Health Division, Nigerian Institute of Medical Research, P.M.B 2013, Yaba, Lagos,

²PHC/Lafia, 2 Nuhu Bawa Road, Minna, Niger State, and ³Federal Ministry of Health, National Onchocerciasis Control Programme, Nigeria

Summary

The established method of justifying the need for ivermectin delivery to a community is the use of Rapid Assessment Method (RAM). This method involves the clinical examination of 50 adult males, who have been resident in the community for at least five years, for onchocercal nodules and leopard skin. The values obtained for these indices will guide the classification of such a community as being hypoendemic, mesoendemic or hyperendemic. Those that fall within mesoendemic and hyperendemic category onchocerciasis qualify for ivermectin delivery. This study was carried out to determine the endemicity level of onchocerciasis in 74 communities of 8 Local Government Areas (LGAs) of Niger state to justify their inclusion or otherwise in the ongoing state wide mass treatment for onchocerciasis using ivermectin. The communities were selected using the Geographical Information System (GIS) data and following the World Health Organization (WHO) guideline for RAM. A total of 3000 subjects were examined comprising of 2395 (79.8%) males and 605 (20.2%) females. None of the LGAs, based on overall prevalence for nodules qualify for mass treatment with ivermectin. However the study identified communities within some of these LGAs that were mesoendemic for onchocerciasis and thus qualified for mass treatment with ivermectin.

Keywords: *Onchocerciasis, endemicity, ivermectin, treatment,*

Résumé

L'utilisation de la méthode rapide d'évaluation (MRE) établie, justifie le besoin de l'administration de l'ivermectine dans la communauté. Cette étude était faite pour déterminer le niveau l'endémicité de l'onchocercose dans 74 communautés de 8 districts (LGAs) de la province du Niger au Nigeria et le déploiement de l'ivermectine. Les communautés étaient sélectionnés en utilisant le système d'information géographique (SIG) et suivant le guide de l'organisation mondiale de la santé pour le MRE. Cinquante males résidant d'au moins de 5 ans dans une communauté ont été examinés cliniquement pour des nodules d'onchocercose et la peau du léopard. Parmi un total 3.000 sujets examinés inclu, 2395 (79.8%) des males et 605 (20.2%) des femelles. Les valeurs obtenues pour ces indices guideront sur la classification d'une telle communauté comme étant hypoendémique, mesoendémique ou hyperendémique. Ceux qui tomberont dans

la catégorie de l'onchocercose hyperendémique étaient qualifiés pour l'administration de l'ivermectine. Aucune des districts basée sur la prévalence totale des nodules étaient qualifiés pour le traitement massif avec l'ivermectine.

Introduction

Onchocerciasis affects 18 million people worldwide, 350,000 blind and 6 million cases of skin diseases. Onchocerciasis is the world's second leading cause of blindness. It causes chronic suffering and severe disability. The global incidence of new case of blindness is 40,000 annually with 120 million people at risk and 1.09 million Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALY) lost annually. Thirty five countries, 28 of which are located in tropical Africa and 6 in Latin America and Yemen are affected by the disease. Ninety nine percent of the infected people are found in tropical Africa [1-4].

Nigeria is the most endemic country in Sub-Saharan Africa and it accounts for one quarter of all onchocerciasis cases in Africa. About 23 million people are at risk while 3.3 million persons are infected, 1.3 million people are among those infected and 100,000 persons are blind [1, 5].

Onchocerciasis has been endemic in Niger State for a long time. The *Simulium* vector fly was first reported in Nigeria, from Kainji Lake area although this area was until recently, within Kwara State. Several epidemiological surveys on the disease had been carried out in the State since *Simulium* was first reported. For instance El-Zarka [6] reported a blindness rate of 6% obtained for 2,846 persons examined between 1969 and 1970 in the area. Latter studies show prevalence of 13.3% to 70.6% [7, 8]. Prevalence rate of up to 29.6% was later reported with blindness rate of 9% [9].

Ivermectin, the drug presently used nationwide for the control of the disease which is aimed at reducing morbidity and blindness was first launched in the State in 1991.

The distribution of ivermectin to affected communities has been an annual event in the State with gradual improvement in geographical coverage over the years.

The priority of onchocerciasis control programme is to bring control first to the most endemic communities, with the introduction of ivermectin, an effective microfilaricide donated by Merck & Co. Inc. However these most endemic communities need to be clearly identified while the endemicity status of the entire State needs to be determined to confidently justify the direction and strategy adopted for the disease control in the different parts of the State.

Rapid Epidemiological Mapping of Onchocerciasis (REMO) is a global tool for estimating the disease prevalence and for identifying communities at greatest risk of infection [10]. REMO is fast, non invasive, safe and does not pose a risk to Human Immuno Deficient Virus (HIV) or Hepatitis B virus infection as the case with the skin snipping, the method previously used for determining prevalence of infection but now discontinued. REMO is accepted in terms of socio-cultural and religious consideration [11,12]. A good relationship has been established between prevalence of onchocercal nodules and skin microfilariae [13].

Rapid Epidemiological Mapping of Onchocerciasis (REMO) was conducted in the State in 1994 as part of the nationwide REMO survey on the disease distribution. Since 1994 a number of REMO have been conducted in the State and the GIS map on the endemicity of the disease had been undertaken to refine it. REMO picture for Niger State is clearly defined for many of the 25 Local Government Area (LGAs) but not so for the 8 LGAs under study. This survey was therefore conducted to determine the onchocerciasis endemicity levels in these LGAs to provide data for refining the REMO data for the State and give a clear epidemiological picture of the disease endemicity in the State and guide choice of strategy for ivermectin delivery where such is indicated.

Methodology

Study area

The study area (Fig 1) comprised the following 8 LGAs of Niger state: Agwarra, Bida, Borgu, Edati, Gurara, Paikoro, Suleja, and Tafa. Niger State is situated in the middle belt of Nigeria and is known to be endemic for onchocerciasis. The State has a projected population of 3.2 million with the estimated 1,060,2220 at risk of blindness in 1,600 communities in 17 of 25 LGAs of the State. The population of communities selected for survey was 103,125. These communities are high risk communities with farming as their main occupation.

The National Prevalence Survey of 1988 on onchocerciasis classified Niger State as hyperendemic [14]. Further epidemiological study carried out nationwide using REMO in 1994 classified Niger state as hyperendemic [15].

Study communities

A listing of the communities to be surveyed in the selected 8 LGAs was computed from a recently produced GIS map on the State, following the river systems and in accordance with steps outlined [10]. The criteria used in selection of high risk communities included closeness to river banks, rapids or falls with preference given to isolated villages. The selection criteria also took into consideration high risk community in every 30-50 km distance and communities located at major tributaries. For every high risk village an alternative village was chosen with similar characteristics to the original choice. The alternative village was surveyed when the original one chosen could not be located. For each high risk village or its alternative, a related secondary village located at least 10km further away from likely main sources of vectors was chosen. Members of the State Onchocerciasis Control Team (SOCT) mobilized the communities prior to the commencement of the survey.

Determination of endemicity status of communities. Rapid assessment (REA) was conducted in the selected communities. The rapid assessment method adopted by the National Onchocerciasis Control Program (NOCP) for rapid identification of communities at high risk of prioritizing ivermectin distribution. In every surveyed community, a sample of 30-50 male females of 15-60 years of age, of long period of residency in the community was examined for nodules. In communities where it was possible to capture the desired number of individuals, 50% of females were included. Each individual was examined by palpating the lower limbs, which were visually examined for depigmentation (patches of complete pigment loss or 'spots' of normally pigmented skin centre to periphery of nodules). This depigmentation was categorized as present (L/S) and its occurrence or not on individual was recorded. Data obtained from all individuals were used to determine prevalence. Individuals were asked if they had any history of body, which aided identification of those with nodules by wing palpation. Every individual was however palpated for onchocerca nodules. The location and number of the nodules observed in these individuals were recorded by age and sex. Each community was classified as suitable or not for mass treatment with CDTI based on the prevalence of endemicity which was determined according to the nodular rate line, using the nodular rate.

Validation was carried out by a second researcher in 4 LGAs representing 50% (Agwarra, Borgu, Paikoro) of the LGAs surveyed. A total of 605 individuals were included in the validation.

Result

A total of 3000 subjects were examined comprising 2395 males and 605 (20.2%) females in the 74 communities. It was necessary to substitute second line communities in 7% of the surveyed communities as a result of migration of entire community or individuals to be examined.

Table 1: Number of individuals examined by Local Government Area.

Local Government Area (LGA)	Males	Females
Agwarra	439	61
Bida	161	24
Borgu	714	36
Edati	245	41
Gurara	188	202
Paikoro	335	125
Suleja	48	17
Tafa	265	99
Total	2395	605

The prevalence of people with nodules on the skin for both the survey and validation are given in Table 2. All the 8 LGAs surveyed the nodular rate ranged from 4.3% to 18.5%. Similar low rates were observed in the validation.

during evaluation (Table 2) however the differences between the survey and validation were not statistically significant ($P>0.05$). Prevalence of leopard skin was even lower than nodular rate in all the LGAs. It ranged from 0 to 5.6%

Table 2: Nodular and leopard skin rates by Local Government Areas (survey and validation)

LGA	Nodules *A/B x 100 (Prevalence)		Leopard skin *C/D x 100 (Prevalence)	
	Survey	Validation	Survey	Validation
Agwarra	8%	12.5%	2%	0
Bida	4.3%	-	0	-
Borgu	10.8%	15.2%	5.6%	8.6%
Edati	7%	-	0.7%	-
Gurara	13.3%	8.8%	2.8%	8.8%
Paikoro	8.3%	12.5%	1.5%	6.2%
Suleja	18.5%	-	0	-
Tafa	9.3%	-	0	-

*A - Number of people found positive for nodules.

B - Total number of people examined

C - Number of people found positive for Leopard skin

D - Total number of people examined

As shown in Table 3 none of the LGAs based on overall prevalence for nodules qualify for the CDTI treatment, although they qualify for passive treatment. However there are communities within some of these each LGAs that had nodular rate greater than 20% (Table 4).

Table 3: Nodular and leopard skin rates by Local Government Areas

LGA	Surveyed			Qualified for CDTI	Prevalence Range	
	No Communities	Population	No examined		N	L/S
Agwarra	10	15800	500	Not qualified	0-26	0-10
Bida	5	13050	185	Not qualified	0-26.9	0
Borgu	17	13300	750	Not qualified	0-26.3	0-18.4
Edati	6	3300	286	Not qualified	0-16	0-2
Gurara	10	12850	390	Not qualified	0-29.5	0-4.5
Paikoro	12	24900	460	Not qualified	0-15.6	0-9.3
Suleja	2	850	65	Not qualified	14.7-22	0
Tafa	12	19075	364	Not qualified	0-16.7	0

N - Nodules

L/S - Leopard skin

CDTI - Community directed treatment with Ivermectin
Criteria for mass treatment

• Urgent - Leopard skin rate 20%; nodular rate 40%

• Highly desirable - Leopard skin rate 20-30%; nodular rate 20-39

The 4 LGAs of Agwarra, Borgu, Gurara and Suleja have communities that are qualified for CDTI based on their nodular rates, Borgu LGA had 3 out of 17; Gurara 2 out of 10 and the remaining 2 LGAs 1 community out of 10 and 2 communities respectively that are qualified for CDTI (Table 3).

Out of the 74 communities surveyed in these LGAs, the clinical manifestation of onchocerciasis, ie nodules and leopard skin, were not seen in 8 communities. One each of these were located within Agwarra, Borgu, Bida and Paikoro with two each located within Tafa and Edati LGAs.

Table 4: Nodular and leopard skin rates for communities qualified for community directed treatment with ivermectin

LGA	Community	Population	Number examined			Prevalence	
			*M	**F	Total	Nodule	L/S
Agwarra	Cuteku	2500	50	-	50	26%	4%
Borgu	Lesu	100	17	21	38	26%	13%
	Chegu/Leaba	242	37	1	38	26.3%	18.4%
	Koro	865	50	-	50	22%	14%
Gurara	Gwacipe	1000	19	25	44	29.5%	4.5%
	Kwaka	2000	25	25	50	24%	4%
Suleja	Zariyawa	250	23	8	31	22.6%	-

* Male

** Female

However there are few communities within some of these LGAs that qualify for CDTI, based on the data obtained. These comprise Cuteku in Agwarra LGA, Lesu, Chegu/Leaba and Koro in Borgu LGA, Gwacipe and Kwaka in Gurara LGA and Zariyawa in Suleja LGA.



Fig. 1: The study area - Local Government Areas

Discussion

REMO a tool developed by TDR in 1993 forms the basis for identification of communities for the distribution of ivermectin since it helps to rapidly identify hyperendemic communities for prioritizing control effort. A good relationship has been established between the classification of onchocerciasis endemicity based on skin snip data and the classification by rapid assessment methods, especially nodule palpation [13, 16]. REMO is an important step in the planning process for onchocerciasis control [17].

Work carried out in the past in the forest region of Cameroon as well as in Babana area of Kwara State [18, 19] pointed out the usefulness of leopard skin as an important

diagnostic feature. However the prevalence of leopard skin appears not useful in determining endemicity level as observed in these study communities and is also the view of WHO and other workers since the manifestation may not always be presented in onchocerciasis endemic communities areas. Clearly, the use of nodules is a reliable diagnostic feature which has been used for the present assessment of endemicity of onchocerciasis in the study area.

The finding in this study which showed that nodular rate recorded for all surveyed LGA were below 20% indicate that these are hypoendemic for onchocerciasis. This is in accordance to WHO guideline on community classification for this disease. As such the strategy for ivermectin delivery should be passive and clinic based. Those communities where no manifestation of nodules and leopard skin was recorded have no need for treatment. The 7 communities within the 4 LGAs of Borgu, Agwarra, Gurara and Suleja that had nodular rate of 20% or above are qualified for CDTI and steps should be taken to implement this in these specific communities and surrounding areas. The data obtained from this study will enable a refinement on the GIS map of the disease distribution for the State onchocerciasis control programme on the actual status of these LGAs. The data would also serve as baseline for monitoring impact of intervention on the disease.

Acknowledgements

The team is grateful to the German Technical Cooperation (GTZ) for funding this survey and the NOCP for initiating the need for it. The team acknowledges the participation and cooperation of Mrs Datti (the State Onchocerciasis Control Coordinator) and Dr Yemi Fayomi (Zone C National Coordinator). The assistance of the State and Local Government Onchocerciasis Control Programme staff (Abubakar Musa Garba, Sule Salisu, Ibrahim Erena, Ruth Galadima and Idris Abubarka Loguma) is also acknowledged. We are grateful to Niger State Director of PHC & Disease Control, the Directors of PHC of the Local Government Areas, the community leaders and, the communities for their cooperation.

References

1. World Health Organization. Onchocerciasis and its control. Fourth report of the expert committee on Onchocerciasis. WHO. Tech Rep Ser. 1995; 852: 156.
2. World Health Organization. Economic Impact of Onchocercal skin disease (OSD): Report of multi-country study. Applied Field Research Report Geneva: WHO. 1997.
3. World Health Organization. Annual Onchocerciasis report from the Inter American conference on onchocerciasis in Oxaca, Mexico. Weekly Epidemiological Record. 1997; 72: 215-218.
4. World Health Organization. Division of Control of Tropical Diseases (CTD). Progress report. Geneva: WHO. 1998; 115-118.
5. World Health Organization. Expert committee on Onchocerciasis. Third Report. WHO. Tech Rep Ser. 1987; 752.
6. EL-Zarker SE. Kanji Lake, Nigeria In: Man-made Lake: Their problems and environmental effects Ed Ackerman WC, White GF, Worthington, EB. 1973. Geophysical Monograph H. Amer. Geoph. Union. Washinton, D.C. 1973; 197-219
7. Adekolu-John. Post impoundment status of onchocerciasis in Kanji Lake area of Nigeria. Trop Geog Med. 1982; 34:139-44.
8. Adekolu-John. Parasitic diseases and man-made lakes in Nigeria. Pub Hlth. 1983; 93: 31-7.
9. Tayo MA. Prevalence of Onchocerciasis in Kainji Lake Area. In Ayeni, JSO and Olatunde AA (eds.) Proceedings of The National Conference on 2 Decades of Research on Lake Kainji, Held between 29th Nov - 1st Dec 1989. Kainji National Institute for Freshwater Fisheries Research, New Bussa. 1989; 510-515.
10. Ngoumou P, Walsh JF. Rapid Epidemiological Mapping of Onchocerciasis. TDR/TDE/ONCHO/93. 4. Geneva: WHO; 1993:1-37.
11. Edungbola LD, Nwoke BEB, Onwuliri COE, Akpa AUC, Tayo-Mafe, MA. Selection of rapid assessment methods for community diagnosis of onchocerciasis in Nigeria: a recapitulation. Nig J Parasitol. 1993;14: 3-5.
12. Nwoke EBB. Rapid assessment of Onchocerciasis in Nigeria. Nig J Biotech. 1990; 11: 213-216.
13. World Health Organization. Methods for community Diagnosis of onchocerciasis to Guide Ivermectin-based Control in Africa. Document TDR/TDE/ONCHO/93.2. Geneva: WHO. 1992.
14. Federal Ministry of Health. Report of prevalence of onchocerciasis in Nigeria. 1989.
15. Federal Ministry of Health. Report on rapid assessment methods for community diagnosis of onchocerciasis in Niger State. NOCP. Ondo State. 1994.
16. Taylor HR, Duke BOL, Munzor B. The selection of communities for treatment of onchocerciasis with ivermectin. Trop Med Parasit. 1992; 43: 267-270.
17. Noma M, Nwoke BE, Nutall I, et al. Rapid epidemiological mapping of onchocerciasis (REMO): Its application by the African Programme for Onchocerciasis control (APOC). Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2002; 92 supp 1:529-539.
18. Anderson J, Fuglsang H, Hamilton PSJ Marshall TF de C. Studies in the united Republic of Cameroon. I. Comparison of population with and without *Onchocerca volvulus*. Tran Roy Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1983; 68(3): 190-207.
19. Edungbola LD, Oni GD, Aiyedun OA. Babana Parasitic Disease Project I. The study area and a preliminary assessment of onchocercal endemicity based on prevalence of leopard skin Tran Roy Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1983; 77(3): 303-309.

Received: 20 October 2003

Accepted: 19 February 2001