The African Journal of MEDICINE and Medical Sciences

Editor: L.A. Salako Assistant Editors: A.O. Falase and B. Adelusi

Editorial Board:

B.K. Adadevoh Nigeria S.K. Addae Ghana A. Adetuvibi Nigeria S. Afoakwa Ghana V.E. Aimakhu Nigeria O.O. Akinkugbe Nigeria E.O. Akande Nigeria J. Aminu Nigeria B.O. Amure Nigeria A. Angate Nigeria E.A. Bababunmi Nigeria I.S. Audu Nigeria E.A. Badoe Ghana T. Bello-Osagie Nigeria E.I. Benhawy Egypt M. Bertrand Ivory Coast A.E. Boyo Nigeria R. Brewer Liberia N.O. Bwibow Kenya T.S. David-West Nigeria I. Diop-Mar Nigeria F.O. Dosekun Nigeria M. Dumas Senegal L. Ekpechi Nigeria

E.A. Elebute Nigeria J.G.F. Esan Nigeria G.O. Ezeilo Nigeria A. Fabiyi Nigeria J.B. FamilusiNigeria D. Femi-Pearse Nigeria A.F. Fleming Nigeria T.I. Francis Nigeria K.A. Harrison Nigeria K.T. Karashani Tanzania W.J. Kakene Uganda J.W. Kibukamusoke Zambia K. Knox-Macaulay Sierra-Leone T.M. Kolawole Nigeria S.B. Lagundoye Nigeria A.M. Lutfi Sudan J.S.W. Lutwama Uganda F.D. Martinson Nigeria D.G. Montefiore Nigeria J.M. Mungai Kenya V.A. Ngu Cameroon N.C. Nwokolo Nigeria M.I. Ogbeide Nigeria

E.O. Ogunba Nigeria T.O. Ogunlesi Nigeria H.P. Ojiambo Kenya O.A. Ojo Nigeria M.O. Olatawura Nigeria Ovin Olurin Nigeria B.O. Onadeko Nigeria G.O. Onuaguluchi Nigeria A.O. Osoba Nigeria B.O. Osunkova Nigeria B.O. Osuntokun Nigeria R. Owor Uganda A.B.O.O. Oyediran Nigeria E.H.O. ParryGhana H.H. Phillips Ghana H. Ruberti Kenya S. Saunders Cape Town P. Sebuwufu Uganda Y.K. Seedat Natal J.K. Shaba Tanzania U. Shehu Nigeria T.F. Solanke Nigeria F.A.O. Udekwu Nigeria

r.A.O. Ouckwu mger

Volume 10 1981

BLACKWELL SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS Oxford London Edinburgh Boston Melbourne

INVESTIGATION OF THE PRESENCE OF SALMONELLA IN TWO NIGERIAN MEAT PACKING PLANTS

P. B. ADDO AND A. A. DIALLO*

Department of Veterinary Pathology and Microbiology, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

Summary

Two large and very well established meat processing plants supervised by the Veterinary Public Health Department were examined to assess the incidence of Salmonella within the premises and on the processed carcasses. The overall incidence was 65 out of the 252 samples or 25.9%. Salmonella was found in forty-one of 167 samples (25%) in one and twenty-four out of eighty-five samples (29%) in the other. The high incidence shows a high degree of probability of contamination of the products from both plants. The public health significance of the findings is emphasized and control problems are highlighted.

Résumé

Deux grands complexes convenablement équipés pour le traitement des viandes, controlés par le département de Médecine Veterinaire préventive, ont été examinés. L'étude a eu pour objet de définir l'incidence de Salmonella aussilien dans les installations que sur les carcasses d'animaux á transformer. L'incidence globale n'est chiffrée á soixante-cinq èchantillons sur les 252 prèlevés, soit un taux de contamination de 25-9%. Salmonella a été identifié dans 41 des 167 échantillons provenant d'un complexe (25%) puis dans 24 des 85 echantillons de l'autre (29%).

*Present address: Michigan State Department of Health, Division of Microbiology, Lansing, Michigan, U.S.A.

0309-3913/81/1200-0085 \$02.00

© 1980 Blackwell Scientific Publications.

Cette incidence èlevée de Salmonella indigue la possibilité mon moins grande d'une contamination des produits au niveau des deux installations. La portée sanitaire de ces tronvailles est mise en exergue et les problemes du contrôle d'hygiéne et de salubrité ainsi mis au jour.

Introduction

Microbial food-poisoning in humans is commonly associated with microorganisms originating from animal sources. In some of such cases infection is contracted by eating contaminated meat and meat products. Such contamination occurs within the slaughter-house and during the processing and handling of meat before sale.

The genus Salmonella is one of the more common causes of infectious food poisoning in man. Animal products are recognized to be the most important source of salmonellosis for man (Watson, 1975). Salmonella contamination of fresh meat is an international problem because of exportation of meat and meat products from one country to another (Pross & Riemann, 1967; Schothorst & Kampelmacher, 1967). Reports of Salmonella incidence in meat in Nigeria are few and those available are limited to reports on slaughter slabs or small abattoirs in which animals are slaughtered daily and meat distributed or sold in markets to the population immediately surrounding the slabs of abattoirs (Collard & Sen, 1960). Incidence of Salmonella in well established meat plants which supply meat and meat products on a wider scale to the country population and the neighbouring countries has not been investigated.

The investigation described here was designed to determine the degree of Salmonella

Correspondence: Dr P.B. Addo, Department of Veterinary Pathology and Microbiology, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

contamination of the two important meat plants in Nigeria and therefore the possibility of contamination of the products of the slaughtered animals.

Background

The Bauchi meat plant

This meat plant came into production in 1968. It was jointly owned by the Federal Ministry of Industry of Nigeria, the North Eastern State Government of Nigeria and the Bauchi Local Authority. The ownership and function of the plant were however transfered to the National Livestock Production in November 1977. Operations in the plant include chilling of dressed carcasses and transporting them to other parts of the country in cold train wagons or trucks. In addition, sausage meat, minced beef, bone meal, tallow (melted fat) and canned products such as corned beef and dog food are made in the plant. All the operations in the plant are supervised by the officials of the Public Health Department of Nigeria.

The Ahmadu Bello University (ABU) meat plant

This is a smaller plant established by the University as a unit in the Department of Animal Science. Operations in the plant are not as extensive as in the Bauchi plant. Its dressed carcasses are sold to the people within 40 km of the location.

In addition, the plant produces sausage meat, minced beef and ham. However there is no bone meal, tallow, dog food or any other canned product.

Meat inspection is carried out by the staff of the Department of Veterinary Public Health of the University and used for teaching purposes to the Veterinary Students.

Materials and methods

Origin of animals slaughtered

In the Bauchi plant the animals slaughtered are mainly cattle, but sheep and goats are also slaughtered in large numbers. The Bauchi plant is attached to nearby government cattle ranches from where the cattle slaughtered originate. A large number of these slaughtered animals are, however, bought through contractors who obtain them from nearby livestock owners or otherwise travel far into neighbouring countries to buy animals for slaughter.

In the Ahmadu Bello University plant, cattle, sheep, goats, chickens and pigs are slaughtered in very large numbers. Animals originate from several different sources among which are Local and State Government farms and culled animals from various experimental projects at the University and Research Institutes.

Most of the cattle, sheep and goats however come from herdsmen within the Northern States of Nigeria and the neighbouring countries. Chad, Niger and Cameroon. The animals from the nearby Research Institutes and government farms arrive in trucks or are driven on hoof a few hours before slaughter. Others are driven to plants and are held in paddocks and pens for a day or two before slaughter.

Sampling

Cotton swab applicators were made with generous amounts of cotton wool and then sterilized. Materials were sampled by rubbing a swab, moistened with sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) over specific areas. The swabs were then placed in 10 ml of transport medium (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Michigan U.S.A.) and transported to the laboratory in ice boxes. Faecal samples were taken with a sterile wooden tongue depressor and put into sterile sample bottles. Fluid samples were taken by sterile Pasteur pipettes and put into sterile sample bottles.

Bacteriological examination

In the laboratory, 1 ml of the transport medium was added to 15 ml of selenite enrichment broth. The broths were incubated for 48 hr at 37°C after which subcultures were made into brilliant green agar (BGA) Salmonella-Shigella agar (SSA) and MacConkey agar (MA). Plates were incubated for 18–24 hr at 37°C and then examined for typical salmonella colonies on the media (Anon, 1971). Suspected colonies from the plates were transfered to fresh plates for pure growth. Isolates were tested biochemically according to standard methods (King, 1972; Carter, 1973). TABLE 1. Sites in the Bauchi meat processing plant from which Salmonella was isolated TABLE 2. Sites in Ahmadu Bello University meat processing laboratory from which Salmonella was isolated

| Location | | Results | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|
| General | Specific | No. sampled | No. positive | |
| Holding pen | Faecal material | 3 | 1 | |
| | Liquid off floor | 1 | 1 | |
| | Water hose | 1 | - | |
| | Tap water | 2 | 1 | |
| Weighing scale | Faecal material | 1 | - | |
| | Liquid off floor | 1 | - | |
| Alley way | Walls | 2 | - | |
| | Floor | 1 | 1 | |
| Killing room | Walls | 4 | 1 | |
| g.een | Doors | 3 | 1 | |
| | Drains | 6 | 1 | |
| | Liquid off floor | 4 | 1. | |
| | Faecal material | 3 | 1 | |
| | Washing basins | 8 | 1 | |
| Hoisting area | Trolleys & Hooks | 3 | 1 | |
| | Workers' boots | 12 | 3 | |
| | Organ racks | 6 | 2 | |
| | Drain | 1 | 1 | |
| Inspection area | Knives | 6 | 2 | |
| • | Trays | 6 | 1 | |
| | Washing basins | 5 | 1 | |
| | Organ basins | 7 | 2 | |
| | Organ racks | 9 | 3 | |
| Chill room 1 | Door | 1 | - | |
| | Walls | 2 | 1 | |
| | Floor | 1 | - | |
| | Basins | 4 | 1 | |
| Chill room 2 | Door | 1 | 1 | |
| Chill room 2 | Walls | 1 | | |
| | Floor | 1 | | |
| | | 1 |) _ | |
| Chill room 3 | Door | 4 | - | |
| | Walls | 4 | 1 | |
| | Floor | 2 | - | |
| | Basins | 4 | | |
| Cutting area | Knives | 3 | 1 | |
| | Saws | - | 1 | |
| | Packing table | 4 | 1 | |
| | Liquid off floor | 1 | - | |
| | Meat on table | 4 | 1 | |
| | Bones on table | 4 | - | |
| | Packing plastic bags | 1 | - | |
| arcass in chill roo | mHalfoutside | 3 | 1 | |
| arcass in chill 100 | Halfinside | 3 | - | |
| <u>i</u> | | 6 | 2 | |
| | Heads (cut surface) | 6 | 4 | |
| OIC' | Peritoneal offals Thoracic offals | 6 | 1 | |
| otal | | 167 | 41 | |

| Location | | Res | Results | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| General | Specific | No. sampled | No. positive | | |
| Holding pen | Faecal material | 2 | - | | |
| 01 | Liquid off floor | 1 | 1 | | |
| Weighing scale | Faecal material | 1 | - | | |
| | Liquid off floor | 1 | - | | |
| Alley way | Wooden fence | 2 | - | | |
| | Liquid off floor | 1 | 1 | | |
| Killing room | Door | 1 | <u> </u> | | |
| J | Walls | 2 | | | |
| | Liquid off floor | 3 | 1 | | |
| | Drains | 2 | 1 | | |
| | Faccal material | 1 | - | | |
| | Washing basins | 6 | 2 | | |
| | Workers' coats | 2 | - | | |
| | Workers' aprons | 2 | - | | |
| | Workers' boots | 4 | 1 | | |
| | Hoisting hooks | 1 | - | | |
| | Organs racks | 4 | 1 | | |
| | Knives | 3 | - | | |
| | Trays | 2 | 1 | | |
| Chill room 1 | Door | 1 | 1 | | |
| | Walls | 4 | 1 | | |
| , O | Floor | 1 | 1 | | |
| 4 | Offal basins | 4 | 2 | | |
| Chill room 2 | Door | 1 | - | | |
| | Walls | 2 | 1 | | |
| | Floor | 1 | 1 | | |
| | Offal basins | 6 | 2 | | |
| Cutting area | Saw | 2 | 1 | | |
| Cuttingureu | Knives | 4 | 1 | | |
| | Packing table | 2 | 1 | | |
| | Liquid off floor | 2 | 1 | | |
| | Meat on table | 2 | - | | |
| Carcass in chillroon | | 2 | - | | |
| arcass in chillroo | Half inside | 2 | 1 | | |
| | | 2 2 2 2 | | | |
| | Heads | 2 | 1 | | |
| | Peritoneal offals | 2 | | | |
| | Thoracic offals | 2 | - | | |
| | Skin | 2 | 1 | | |
| Total | | 85 | 25 | | |

• One sample contained two serotypes.

Those confirmed as Salmonella biochemically were serotyped using Difco (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, U.S.A.) and Wellcome (Wellcome Nigeria Ltd, Ikeja, Nigeria) antiserum.

Results

Table 1 shows the results from the Bauchi meat processing plant. Data in Table 2 show the Salmonella contamination of the ABU meat processing laboratory. The results show that both plants in which meat is processed on a large scale for a large population of Nigeria are severely contaminated with Salmonella.

A total of forty-one (25%) of 167 specimens collected at the Bauchi plant contained Salmonella while twenty-four (29%) out of eighty-five collected at the ABU plant contained Salmonella. One sample collected at the Bauchi plant contained two serotypes. There was no significant difference (P<0.5) in the degrees of contamination of both plants. The degrees of sanitation in both plants were apparently similar although the number of staff in the Bauchi plant was about 17 times that of the ABU plant on the sampling days.

Table 3 shows the serotypes of Salmonella isolated and the frequency of isolation from the total number of samples examined. S. give, S. anatum and S. colindale were the most common. S. poona was reported for the first time in Nigeria.

Table 4 indicates frequency of isolation from

TABLE 3. Serotypes of Salmonella isolated from two Nigerian meat plants

| Serotype | Isolation frequency per total number of samples (n=252) | Frequency per total serotypes isolated (n=67) | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Salmonella give | 19 (7.5)* | 19 (28.0)* | |
| S. anatum | 15 (6.0) | 15 (22.0) | |
| S. colindale | 12 (4.8) | 12 (18.0) | |
| S. derby | 5 (2.0) | 5 (7.5) | |
| S. oranienburg | 4 (1.6) | 4 (6.0) | |
| S. montevideo | 3 (1.2) | 3 (4.5) | |
| S. johannesburg | 2 (0.8) | 2 (3.0) | |
| S. nchanga | 2 (0.8) | 2 (3.0) | |
| S. hull | 2 (0.8) | 2 (3.0) | |
| S. saint-paul | 1 (0.4) | 1 (1.5) | |
| S. agona | 1 (0.4) | 1 (1.5) | |
| S. poona | 1 (0.4) | 1 (1.5) | |
| Total | 67 (26.6) | 67 (100) | |

Percentages in parentheses

TABLE 4. Frequency of isolation of Salmonella from five major areas of the two plants

•

| Sample area | Number examined | | Number positive | | Percentage | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|-----|------------|------|
| | Bauchi | ABU | Bauchi | ABU | Bauch | ABU |
| Holding pen and alley way | 14 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 28.6 | 25 0 |
| Killing area | 56 | 33 | 13 | 7 | 23.2 | 212 |
| Inspection area | 33 | • | 9 | • | 27.3 | • |
| Storage area | 43 | 32 | 12 | 12 | 27.9 | 37.5 |
| Cutting area | 21 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 14.3 | 33 3 |
| Total | 167 | 85 | 41 | 25 | 24.6 | 29.4 |

* Inspection is carried out in the killing area.

major areas of operation viz., killing, storage, cutting and inspection areas. All operating areas appeared to be significantly contaminated.

Discussion

Salmonellosis is the most important of the public health and animal health disease problems (Hobbs, 1961). It remains the most common infectious disease transmitted by food, and animal products are recognized as being the most important source of such infection for man (Galton & Newell, 1960; Prost & Riemann, 1967; Watson, 1975).

Felsenfeld, Young & Yoshimura (1950) were among the early investigators of animal products as a source of infection. Since then several other workers have shown the significance of meat and meat products as important instruments of Salmonellosis in humans (McDonagh & Smith, 1958; Schothorst & Kampelmacher, 1967; Meara, 1973).

The results of the work reported here compare very favourably with those of previous workers on the role of meat and meat products as sources of infection for man. The results show a high incidence of Salmonella in the environment although not higher than has been seen elsewhere (Galton *et al.*, 1954). The importance, however, is in the use of these meat plants as a source of raw and processed meat such as sausage meat, minced beef, corned beef, tallow, bone and dog meals, for a large part of the country. Salmonella could therefore be spread over a wide area of the country and into many homes by these products. The effluents from the plants also seem to be important. This environmental contamination should also be a concern in public health and epidemiology of salmonellosis. Several workers (Galton *et al.*, 1954; Hrubant, Daugherty & Rhodes, 1972; Rhodes & Hrubant, 1972) have shown the significance of packing plant or feed lot run-offs as a source of environmental contamination and the isolation of Salmonella from all the run-off samples in this study also strengthens the findings of these previous investigators.

Only very few Salmonella species are hostspecific. Salmonella *paratyphi* formerly thought to be host specific for humans has been recovered from cattle many times (George *et al.*, 1972). Thus any salmonella isolated from an animal is a potential pathogen of man. *Salmonella typhimurium* is the most common species involved in human salmonellosis of animal origin but it is interesting that of all the isolates reported here, none was found to be *S. typhimurium*.

Serotypes reported here have been isolated from livestock in the past in Nigeria (Collard & Sen, 1960; Ojo, 1974). *Salmonella poona* is, however, reported for the first time.

It is impossible to avoid slaughtering of salmonella-infected animals and it may not be possible to produce animal carcasses completely free of bacterial contamination. It is however important to point out that care must be taken to minimize contamination of products with faecal materials, skin, gastro-intestinal contents and run-off. Control measures are impossible in these plants at the present time because (1) processing lines move too rapidly for adequate care to prevent contamination; (2) processing equipment is crowded together and inaccessible for proper cleaning during processing; (3) staff movement is indiscriminate and there is a general lack of understanding of proper handling; (4) splashes from drains and run-off easily come into contact with equipment and parts of carcasses and these could go on to contaminate them.

Acknowledgments

The project was financed through the Ahmadu Bello University research grant to the first author.

The authors are grateful to Dr D.A. Babalola

formerly the Public Health Officer in charge of the Bauchi plant. They are grateful to the entire staff of both plants for assistance and/or cooperation at the time of collecting samples. They thank Dr M.A. Daniyan and Alhaji Shitu Suleiman of the National Livestock Development Board for providing the background information.

Mr Elwayne Stowe of the Department of Infectious Diseases, Kansas State University, Manhattan U.S.A. provided, free, some of the Difco antisera used for typing the isolates. The technical assistance of Mr Gabriel Anyam and Mr Fidelis Onianwa are acknowledged.

References

- Anon. (1971) Difco Manual. 9th Ed. Difco Laboratories Inc., Detroit, Michigan.
- Carter, G.R. (1973) Diagnostic Procedures in Veterinary Microbiology 2nd edn. C.C. Thomas, Springfield, Ill.
- Collard, P. & Sen, R. (1960) Serotypes of Salmonella at Ibadan Nigeria, with special note on the new serotypes isolated in Nigeria. J. inf. Dis. 106, 270-275.
- Felsenfeld, O; Young, V.M. & Yoshimura, T. (1950). A Survey of Salmonella organisms in meat, eggs and milk. J. Amer. Vet. Med. Assoc. 116, 17–21.
 Galton, M.M., Smith, W.V., McElroth, H.B. and Hardy
- Galton, M.M., Smith, W.V., McElroth, H.B. and Hardy A.V. (1954). Salmonella in swine, cattle and the environment of abattoirs. J. inf. Dis. 95, 236–245.
- Galton, M.M. and Newell, K.W. (1964). Epidemiology of Salmonellosis in the United States. In: *The World Problem of Salmonellosis* edited by E. Van Oye. Junk, Den Haag. pp. 421–444.
- George, J.T.A., Wallace, J.G., Morrison, H.R. & Harbourne, J.F. (1972) Paratyphoid in man and cattle. Brit. Med. J. 3, 208-211.
- Hobbs, B.C. (1961) Public Health significance of Salmonella carriers in livestock and birds. J. Appl. Bact. 24, 340–352.
- Hrubant, G.R., Daugherty, R.V. & Rhodes, R.A. (1972) Enterobacteria in feedlot waste and run-off. Appl. Microbiol. 24, 278–383.
- King, E.O. (1972) Round Table; Current Trends in Diagnostic Microbiology. CDC Atlanta, Ga.
- McDonagh, V.P. & Smith, H.G. (1958) The significance of the abattoir in Salmonella infection in Bradford, J. Hyg. 56, 271–279.
- Meara, P.J. (1973) Salmonellosis in slaughter animals as a source of human food poisoning. J.S. Afr. Vet. Asoc. 44, 215–233.
- Ojo, M.O. (1974) A survey of salmonellae in goats and dogs in Nigeria. Bull. Epiz. Dis. Afr. 22, 33–34.
- Prost, E. & Riemann, H. (1967) Foodborne salmonellosis. Ann. Rev. Microbiol. 21, 495–528.
- Rhodes, R.A. & Hrubant, G.R. (1972) Microbial population of feedlot waste and associated sites. *Appl. Microbiol.* 24, 369–377.
- Schothorst, M.V. & Kampelmacher, E.H. (1967) Salmonella in meat imported from South American countries. J. Hyg. 65, 321–325.
- Watson, W.A. (1975) Salmonellosis and meat hygiene. Vet. Rec. 96, 374–376.

(Received 15 August 1980; accepted 17 October 1980)